


Report

Geotechnical Investigation for Coastal Erosion Vulnerability Assessment.

Dalyellup Beach, Shire of Capel WA.

**Date: 8 September 2023
Report Ref: 3073D FINAL**



DOCUMENT HISTORY

DETAILS

Project number	3073D
Document Title	Geotechnical Investigation for Coastal Erosion Vulnerability Assessment
Site Address	Dalyellup Beach, Shire of Capel WA
Report prepared for	The Government of Western Australia, Department of Transport

STATUS AND REVIEW

Revision	Prepared by	Reviewed by	Date issued
0	Andrew Spyrou	Qasim Asad	6 July 2023
1	Andrew Spyrou	Qasim Asad	31 July 2023
2	Andrew Spyrou	-	28 August 2023
FINAL	Andrew Spyrou	-	8 September 2023

DISTRIBUTION

Revision	Electronic	Paper	Issued to
0	1	0	Frederic Saint-Cast, Michael Meuleners
1	1	0	Frederic Saint-Cast, Michael Meuleners
2	1	0	Michael Meuleners
FINAL	1	0	Michael Meuleners

COMPANY DETAILS

Business name	GB Geotechnics (Australia) Pty Ltd
ABN	77 009 550 869
Business address	1/11 Gympie Way, Willetton WA 6155
Phone	0438 398 800
Web	gbg-group.com.au
Email	info@gbgoz.com.au

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A geotechnical investigation has been carried out as part of a coastal erosion assessment at Dalyellup Beach in the Shire of Capel, Western Australia. During the investigation ground geophysical and intrusive geotechnical testing was conducted within a 900m corridor of coastal beach and dune formation adjacent to the Dalyellup settlement which has been identified as an at-risk site following Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Planning.

The investigation scope consisted of acquiring multi-channel analysis of surface waves data as a series of specified transects either along-shore (parallel to the coast) or cross-shore (perpendicular to the coast) and cone penetration testing at spot locations along these transects. This was supplemented with geological mapping of surface rock outcrops and topographic survey using high resolution aerial photogrammetry for the generation of a surface level model and orthomosaic image.

The acquired MASW dataset was processed for the generation of seismic velocity sections along the transects showing variations in the seismic shear wave velocity of the subsurface material to a target depth of 10-15m below ground level. The seismic velocity sections were calibrated with the CPT plots and demarcated into velocity ranges representing different material types and conditions for the generation of interpreted geological sections consisting of loose to compacted sediment and variably weathered to fresh rock.

The interpreted geological sections have been compiled to develop subsurface models of the level to rock substrate (relative to AHD) and overlying sand thickness within the region between the foreshore and the settlement. This model will be used to assess the potential vulnerability of the site to erosion and future inundation risk, and whether there is a continuous rock barrier located below the ground surface of sufficient strength and height that may prevent the advancement of erosion to the settlement.

The following observations have been made:

- Interpreted rock was observed within a subset of the investigation area, with the top of rock being beyond the imaging depth of the investigation methods along portions of the transects particularly at the north-eastern portion of the site. Further investigation to greater depths in the order of 20m is required to resolve the sand/rock boundary at these locations.
- Interpreted rock substrate is present in the along-shore transect acquired on the beach at a depth of between -2.5mAHD to -9.5mAHD with variably compacted sediment overlying this.
- Interpreted rock is present sporadically in the along-shore transects acquired on Norton Promenade and Hutt Drive. This rock is present either as partially lithified sand and/or calcarenite lenses within the sediment, or rock substrate likely to be the same unit as that along the beach along-shore transect underlying the sediment.
- Interpreted sediment is the dominant unit present in the cross-shore transects. This suggests that the coastal dune formation is largely composed of sand to a depth of 10m below the existing surface level.

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	4
2	INVESTIGATION SITE	4
3	INVESTIGATION METHODOLOGY.....	6
3.1	FIELD SURVEY LOGISTICS	6
3.2	MULTI-CHANNEL ANALYSIS OF SURFACE WAVES	6
3.3	CONE PENETRATION TESTING.....	8
3.4	SPATIAL POSITIONING AND PHOTOGRAMMETRY	9
4	RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION	10
4.1	PRESENTATION OF RESULTS.....	10
4.2	SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY SECTIONS	10
4.3	INTERPRETED GEOLOGICAL SECTIONS.....	11
4.4	CALIBRATION WITH GEOTECHNICAL TESTING AND ROCK MAPPING	11
4.5	MODELLED LEVEL TO TOP OF ROCK AND SAND THICKNESS	12
5	PROJECT SUMMARY	14
	APPENDIX A – INVESTIGATION SITE MAP	16
	APPENDIX B – GEOPHYSICAL AND INTERPRETED SECTIONS	17
	APPENDIX C – MODELLED TOP OF ROCK AND SAND THICKNESS	18
	APPENDIX D – CONE PENETRATION TEST PLOTS	19

1 INTRODUCTION

At the request of The Government of Western Australia Department of Transport (DoT), GBG Group carried out a geotechnical investigation at Dalyellup Beach, Shire of Capel in May 2023. During the investigation seismic geophysical testing and intrusive geotechnical testing was conducted within a 900m corridor of coastal beach and dune formation which has been identified as an at risk site following Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Planning (CHRMAP).

The objective of the investigation was to provide detailed mapping of the extent, elevation and consistency/strength of the rock underlying the coastal beach and dune formation. In particular, the key outcome of the investigation was to develop a subsurface model of the level to competent rock substrate (relative to AHD) within the region between the foreshore and the settlement. This model will be used to assess the potential vulnerability of the site to erosion and future inundation risk, and whether there is a continuous rock barrier located below the ground surface of sufficient strength and height that may prevent the advancement of erosion to the settlement.

To achieve the project objectives, data from the following investigation methods was acquired, processed and analysed so as to obtain the required subsurface information within the anticipated geological conditions:

1. **Geological mapping** of surface rock outcrops within the study area using high resolution photogrammetry.
2. **Geophysical testing** by way of Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) to obtain seismic shear wave velocity models related to variations in subsurface material stiffness.
3. **Intrusive geotechnical testing** by way of Cone Penetration Testing (CPT) to measure sediment strength and compressibility, and for calibration and ground truthing of the geophysical dataset.
4. **Topographic survey** using Differential GNSS receiver and photogrammetry.

2 INVESTIGATION SITE

The investigation was carried out within an approximate 900m corridor of coastal beach and dune formation extending from the foreshore to the west, and to Hutt Drive and Norton Promenade to the east. The extent of the investigation site is shown as a yellow dashed area in Figure 1.

Data was acquired as a series of transects for the seismic geophysical testing and point locations for the intrusive geotechnical testing. These were positioned so as to best utilise existing roads, tracks, and beach whilst not impacting native vegetation and in order to ensure the most optimal, efficient and economical acquisition methodology. Data was not acquired where surface obstructions were present such as thick vegetation, steep topography or where the beach foreshore was inundated with seawater. Photographs showing the typical site conditions are provided in Figure 2.

Topography at the site was undulating with a significant elevation difference between the foreshore at ~2m AHD, dune formation up to 25-35m AHD, and the existing settlement at ~20-25m AHD. A topographic map showing surface level is provided in Appendix C drawing 3073D-09.



Figure 1: The extent of the geophysical investigation (yellow polygon) at Dalyellup Beach. Aerial imagery from drone photogrammetry (main image) and Google Maps (inset image).




Figure 2: Site conditions at Dalyellup Beach including beach access track (left image) and coastal dune formation (right image).

3 INVESTIGATION METHODOLOGY

3.1 FIELD SURVEY LOGISTICS

Geophysical data acquisition was carried out on the 16, 19 and 24 May 2023 by a three-person crew from GBG Group including a qualified geophysicist, geologist and field assistant. CPT data acquisition was carried out by a technician from Probedrill on the 19 May 2023. Where required, the site work was carried out under appropriate traffic and pedestrian management commissioned by the Shire of Capel.

Prior to the commencement of data acquisition, a site walkover was carried out with representatives from the Shire of Capel. Potential concerns and issues including the placement of and access to the MASW transects and CPT points were addressed and the initial indicative survey plan was adjusted, where necessary.

The site work for the investigation consisted of a total of 1856m of MASW profiling acquired as 4 along-shore transects (parallel to the coast) and 2 cross-shore transects (perpendicular to the coast), and a total of 6 CPT points along the transects. Details of the acquired MASW transects and CPT points are provided in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. The extents of the MASW transects and locations of the CPT points overlaid onto aerial imagery are shown in Appendix A drawing 3073D-01.

Table 1 – Acquired MASW Transects (Coordinates in GDA94, MGA Zone 50).

Transect ID	Orientation	Start Coordinate		End Coordinate		Length (m)
		East	North	East	North	
MASW01	Along-shore	370038.4	6303290.3	370310.0	6304186.0	912
MASW02	Along-shore	370171.0	6303251.4	370256.2	6303506.8	256
MASW03	Along-shore	370220.4	6303544.3	370318.2	6303721.9	200
MASW04	Along-shore	370296.7	6303729.5	370426.3	6304038.8	336
MASW05	Cross-shore	370135.1	6303547.0	370204.6	6303520.3	76
MASW06	Cross-shore	370244.3	6303902.7	370314.3	6303899.0	76

Table 2 – Acquired CPT Points (Coordinates in GDA94, MGA Zone 50).

CPT ID	Coordinate		Surface Level (mAHD)	Probing Depth (m)
	East	North		
CPT01	370089.0	6303422.5	2.65	1.38
CPT01A	370090.1	6303424.8	2.65	8.64
CPT02	370147.2	6303584.5	2.57	6.28
CPT03	370235.5	6303878.0	2.83	8.88
CPT04	370345.6	6303855.0	23.5	10.2
CPT05	370279.6	6303625.7	22.07	10.2

3.2 MULTI-CHANNEL ANALYSIS OF SURFACE WAVES

MASW is a seismic geophysical method that utilises phase and frequency information to calculate Shear wave (S-wave) velocities in vertical layer models averaged over an array of linearly spaced

geophones. These 1D models can be laterally stacked to provide 2D cross-sections of S-wave velocity in layers. Under most circumstances it is an indicator of material stiffness and as such the method can be used to provide quantitative results on the compaction of the subsurface material.

MASW data was acquired using a Geode (Geometrics) seismograph connected to a receiver array of 24 geophones set at 1m intervals for a total array length of 23m. The receiver array was mobilised on a land streamer whereby the geophones are mounted on base plates attached to webbing, and either towed behind a 4WD light vehicle or manually pulled by the field team. Seismic energy was generated using summed impacts from a PEG-40 (R.T. Clark) vehicle mounted accelerated weight drop or softened steel sledgehammer with source points made at a constant offset from receiver array. MASW acquisition parameters are provided in Table 3. Photographs of MASW data acquisition are shown in Figure 3.

Table 3 – MASW Acquisition Parameters

Parameter	Value
Number of geophones	24
Geophone spacing	1 m
Array length	23 m
Geophone frequency	4.5 Hz
Record length	1 s
Sample interval	0.25 ms
Source	40kg AWD or 6.35kg sledgehammer
Source offset	8 m
Sounding interval	8 m
Source stacks	3



Figure 3: MASW data acquisition using a seismic streamer.

The MASW data was observed to be of high quality with the seismic records having high signal to noise ratio. The generated overtone images plotting phase velocity against frequency showed a prominent dispersion curve of the surface wave component. The MASW data was processed using SurfSeis version 6++ (Kansas Geological Survey, 2017) with the following processing routine:

1. Import acquired seismic data files and apply geometry including geophone spacing, source offset and sounding interval.
2. Generate overtone images giving the percentage intensity of phase velocity versus frequency for each seismic record (Figure 4, left image).
3. Pick the maximum intensity across the useful range of frequencies for each overtone image resulting in a dispersion curve.
4. Run the dispersion curves through a 10-layer inversion algorithm to produce 1D soundings plotting seismic S-wave velocity with depth (Figure 4, right image).

The S-wave velocity soundings were compiled with reference to distance along the transects and gridded with Surfer version 25 (Golden Software, 2023). The resulting contoured cross-sections show the variation in the modelled S-wave velocity of the subsurface material in metres per second laterally along each of the transects and with elevation.




Figure 4: MASW overtone image with high signal to noise ratio and picked dispersion curve.

3.3 CONE PENETRATION TESTING

CPT is a geotechnical test method for evaluating the properties of soils and assessing subsurface stratigraphy including the sediment/rock interface at spot locations. The method involves pushing a calibrated cone and rod into the ground with a measured force with the resulting friction resistance plotted against depth to provide sediment compaction rates as well as the refusal depth indicating the depth to competent rock.

Testing was carried out using a M2 (Morooka) 11 tonne track mounted CPT Rig, specifications of which are provided in Appendix D. The test points were initially marked out at suitable locations within 2m of the intersecting geophysical transects. Dial Before You Dig enquiries and if necessary, utility locating was carried out prior to testing commencing.

CPT readings was made with sufficient ground bearing pressure to obtain a target depth of 10m or prior refusal. Where shallow refusal depths of less than 2m was encountered, when deemed necessary, an

additional offset test was made to ascertain whether shallow refusal was due to a rock floater or other shallow obstruction. A photograph of CPT data acquisition is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5: CPT data acquisition during a previous coastal investigation.

3.4 SPATIAL POSITIONING AND PHOTOGRAMMETRY

Spatial positioning of the acquired geophysical transects was achieved using Reach RS2 (Emlid) or S631 (Hemisphere) GNSS receivers with a coordinate recorded for each MASW sounding location and CPT point. Coordinates of the geophysical transects have been provided in GDA94, MGA zone 50 for horizontal component and Australian Height Datum (mAHD) for vertical component. An accuracy of +/- 0.2m is expected for both vertical and horizontal components.

To achieve precise reduced levels referenced to AHD, the positioning data was acquired with Real-Time Kinematics (RTK) using Standard Survey Markers (SSM) as known reference points for the base corrections. Details of the SSM used for this investigation are provided in Table 4.

Table 4 – Details of Standard Survey Marker

Parameter	Value
Standard Survey Marker	DALYELLUP 3
Latitude	S 33 23 48.53506
Longitude	E 115 36 20.74586
Derived GDA94 ellipsoidal height (m)	-8.730
N-Value (m)	-32.749
Height (m) (AHD)	24.019

A reduced level of 0.0mAHD is considered to be the Mean Sea Level (MSL) for the purpose of this investigation. This relationship for Mean Sea Level was established by the Geoscience Australia Survey in 1971 (<http://www.ga.gov.au/scientific-topics/positioning-navigation/geodesy/datums-projections/australian-height-datum-ahd>).

Aerial photogrammetry was carried out to obtain an up-to-date high-resolution aerial image and a surface level model of the survey area. Data was acquired with a Matrice 300 (DJI) multi-rotor drone, equipped with a L1 (Zenmuse) camera for the capture of multiple overlapping images.

The acquired photogrammetry images were processed using Metashape Professional (Agisoft) for the generation of a point cloud, surface level model and orthomosaic image of the survey area. Note: for this investigation, vegetation has not been removed during the processing stage and as such the height of existing vegetation needs to be considered when assessing surface levels.

4 RESULTS AND INTERPRETATION

4.1 PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

The results of the geotechnical investigation at Dalyellup Beach, Shire of Capel are presented in Appendices B and C of this report as follows:

Appendix B – Geophysical and Interpreted Sections

- **3073D-02, 3073D-03 and 3073D-04.** Transect 1 seismic S-wave velocity model and interpreted geological section.
- **3073D-05.** Transect 2 seismic S-wave velocity model and interpreted geological section.
- **3073D-06.** Transect 3 seismic S-wave velocity model and interpreted geological section.
- **3073D-07.** Transect 4 seismic S-wave velocity model and interpreted geological section.
- **3073D-08.** Transects 5 and 6 seismic S-wave velocity model and interpreted geological section.

Appendix C – Modelled Level to Top of Rock and Sand Thickness

- **3073D-09 and 3073D-10.** Contoured surface level model derived from aerial photogrammetry.
- **3073D-11 and 3073D -12 .** Contoured level to modelled top of rock.
- **3073D-13.** Class post map level to modelled top of rock.
- **3073D-14 and 3073D -15 .** Contoured modelled sand thickness over rock.
- **3073D-16.** Class post map modelled sand thickness over rock.

4.2 SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY SECTIONS

The seismic S-wave velocity (V_s) sections modelled from the MASW data acquired along the along-shore and cross-shore transects are presented at the top of each drawing in Appendix B. These sections show variations in the modelled V_s as per the colour scale with velocity ranging from 150m/s to 1000m/s representing a wide range of material types and conditions.

Seismic S-wave velocity is governed by the elastic properties of the medium that the wave propagates through as shown in the equation below. In particular, it is primarily a function of soil density, void ratio and effective stress. As such calculated values can provide a useful guide to the subsurface material condition with increasing velocity an indication of increasing material stiffness.

$$\text{Seismic S-wave velocity} \quad V_s = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\rho}}$$

where; G = Shear modulus,
 ρ = In-situ material density

4.3 INTERPRETED GEOLOGICAL SECTIONS

Below the seismic S-wave velocity sections are the interpreted geological sections based on detectable seismic velocity contrasts correlated with the CPT. Four classes have been defined representing different subsurface material types and conditions as follows:

1. **Very low seismic S-wave velocity** ($V_s < 250\text{m/s}$). Representing the lowest seismic velocities modelled during the investigation, this class is interpreted as sediment consisting of SAND of low compaction from either the beach or dune formation.
2. **Low seismic S-wave velocity** ($V_s 250\text{-}350\text{m/s}$). This class is interpreted as sediment consisting of SAND of moderate compaction either due to increased depth of cover particularly on the beach and dune formation, or due to development adjacent to the settlement.
3. **Moderate seismic S-wave velocity** ($V_s 350\text{-}475\text{m/s}$). This class is interpreted as low strength rock consisting of variably weathered CALCARENITE. Where continuous and at base of the sections it likely represents a transitional zone to stronger, more competent underlying CALCARENITE. Where present as isolated anomalies within the interpreted SAND it is likely to represent partially lithified SAND and/or CALCARENITE lenses.
4. **Moderate to high seismic wave velocity** ($V_s > 475\text{m/s}$). This class is interpreted as moderate strength rock consisting of slightly weathered to fresh CALCARENITE. It is typically observed at the base of the sections as competent rock underlying the variably weathered CALCARENITE.

4.4 CALIBRATION WITH GEOTECHNICAL TESTING AND ROCK MAPPING

The results of the CPTs are presented in Appendix D showing the plots of cone tip resistance in megapascals against depth in metres. The CPT plots are also shown in Appendix B and overlaid onto the interpreted geological sections with the following observations being made:

- **CPT-01A on Transect 01** – refusal of 70MPa was at a depth of 6m Below Ground Level (BGL) which corresponds to an interpreted partially lithified lens within the beach sand. Note CPT-01 recorded shallow refusal possibly on a rock floater and was subsequently repeated as CPT-01A.
- **CPT-02 on Transect 01** – refusal due to rod friction was at 4mBGL within interpreted sand. This occurred due to sleeve resistance on the sediment not from increased tip resistance on rock.
- **CPT-03 on Transect 01** – refusal of 75MPa was at 6mBGL which corresponds to the top of interpreted low strength rock.
- **CPT-04 on Transect 04** – reached a target depth of 10mBGL without refusal corresponding to sand of variable compaction and with no evidence of rock.
- **CPT-05 on Transect 03** – reached a target depth of 10mBGL without refusal corresponding to sand of variable compaction and with no evidence of rock.

The differences in the modelled level to low strength and moderate strength rock as interpreted from the MASW transects and from the CPT data can be attributed to the fact that the geophysical methods used are broad scale whilst the CPT is a point method. Geophysical methods sample a volume of subsurface material with the calculated depths at any particular point representing an average value over this volume. The CPT method samples the subsurface directly below the probe and is influenced by local variations in the subsurface such as rock floaters, highly weathered zones or lenses of partially lithified sediment. The differences in the type of subsurface sampling of the methods will not adversely affect the results as the CPT results have been used to constrain the geophysics interpretation and as such the results represent the best modelled fit between the datasets.

No surface outcropping rock was observed onsite along the acquired MASW transects. Furthermore, analysis of the orthomosaic image from the aerial photogrammetry indicates no evidence of outcropping rock within the area between the coastal foreshore and settlement.

4.5 MODELLED LEVEL TO TOP OF ROCK AND SAND THICKNESS

Subsurface models for the level to top of rock substrate and overlying sand thickness within the region between the coastal foreshore and settlement are presented in Appendix C. These have been generated by digitising the interface between the interpreted sediment and underlying rock profile from the interpreted geological sections along the acquired along-shore and cross-shore transects and calibrated with the CPT plots. The modelled sand thickness was then generated by subtracting this from the surface elevation. The following subsurface models have been provided:

- **Contoured Surface Level Model** (drawing 3073D-09 and 3073D-10) – generated from the aerial photogrammetry, this presents the level to ground surface ranging from 0.5mAHD to 37.5mAHD. Note: vegetation height has not been removed from these models.

- **Contoured Level to Top of Rock Substrate** (drawing 3073D-11 and 3073D-12) – this presents the level to the top of rock substrate ranging from -12m AHD to 15m AHD. Note the top of rock was not present for the entirety of the geological sections and is below the maximum imaging depth of the investigation at about 12m BGL. Such areas are shown with white cross-hatch.
- **Classed Post Map Level to Top of Rock Substrate** (drawing 3073D-13) – this presents the level to the top of rock substrate along the acquired transects at 5m level increments from 15m AHD to 15m AHD.
- **Contoured Sand Thickness Over Rock** (drawing 3073D-14 and 3073D-15) – this presents the thickness of sand overlying the rock substrate ranging from 2m BGL to 12m BGL. Note as with the top of rock model, white cross-hatched represent areas where rock was not observed and as such sand thickness could not be defined.
- **Classed Post Map Sand Thickness Over Rock** (drawing 3073D-16) – this presents the thickness of sand overlying the rock substrate along the acquired transects at 2m depth increments from 2m to 12m.

The following limitations should be considered when assessing the subsurface models for the level to top of rock substrate and overlying sand thickness:

The expected accuracy of the top of rock substrate modelled from this investigation is +/-0.5m AHD. Similarly, an accuracy of +/-0.5m is expected for the modelled sand thickness over rock. The quoted accuracies have been based on consideration to the accuracy of the GNSS receivers used during the site work, 1D inversion of the MASW dataset using a 10-layer model, and expected undulations in the sand/rock interface. Note the quoted accuracies are only valid along the geophysical transects. Values given between transects have been interpolated in the contour maps and as such the accuracy in this case is indeterminable.

The generated contours will give the general trend of the top of rock profile however will not image local variations when the extent of these are less than transect spacing. Spatially small features such as karst sinkholes or pinnacle features may not be imaged. The significance of this limitation is considered minor for this investigation since although local geological features such as pinnacles may not be represented in the data, the generated surface of the top of rock will show the broad trends in the geology over the site which is suitable for a coastal erosion assessment.

Transition zones including between fresh and weathered rock and between sediment and lithified/partially lithified sediment may be gradational and as such the interface between these layers are not well defined.

The calculated levels to the top of rock will only be valid along the geophysical transects. Values shown on the contour maps not on the transects have been interpolated using the krigging algorithm and as such the accuracy of these levels is indeterminable. The contour surface will give the general trend of the interface however may not image local variations, it is recommended that the interpreted geological

sections presented in Appendix B be used to obtain more accurate top of rock levels and overlying sand thickness.

5 PROJECT SUMMARY

A geotechnical investigation has been carried out as part of a coastal erosion assessment at Dalyellup Beach in the Shire of Capel, Western Australia. During the investigation ground geophysical and intrusive geotechnical testing was conducted within a 900m corridor of coastal beach and dune formation adjacent to the Dalyellup settlement which has been identified as an at risk site following Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Planning.

The investigation scope consisted of acquiring multi-channel analysis of surface waves data as a series of specified transects either along-shore (parallel to the coast) or cross-shore (perpendicular to the coast) and cone penetration testing at spot locations along these transects. This was supplemented with geological mapping of surface rock outcrops and topographic survey using high resolution photogrammetry for the generation of a surface level model and orthomosaic image.

The acquired MASW dataset was processed for the generation of seismic velocity sections along the transects showing variations in the seismic shear wave velocity of the subsurface material to a target depth of 10-15m below ground level. The seismic velocity sections were calibrated with the CPT plots and demarcated into velocity ranges representing different material types and conditions for the generation of interpreted geological sections consisting of loose to compacted sediment and variably weathered to fresh rock.

The interpreted geological sections have been compiled to develop subsurface models of the level to rock substrate (relative to AHD) and overlying sand thickness within the region between the foreshore and the settlement. This model will be used to assess the potential vulnerability of the site to erosion and future inundation risk, and whether there is a continuous rock barrier located below the ground surface of sufficient strength and height that may prevent the advancement of erosion to the settlement.

The methods used during the investigation are geophysical and as such the results are based on indirect measurements and the processing and interpretation of seismic wave signals calibrated with limited intrusive geotechnical testing. The findings in this report represent the professional opinions of the authors, based on experience gained during previous similar investigations.

We trust that this report and the attached drawings provide you with the information required. If you require clarification on any points arising from this geophysical investigation, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned on 08 9354 6300.

For and on behalf of

GBG GEOTECHNICS (AUSTRALIA)



ANDREW SPYROU

Operations Manager, Western Australia / Senior Geophysicist

APPENDIX A – INVESTIGATION SITE MAP

INVESTIGATION SITE MAP



NOTES

Drawing to be used in conjunction with GBG report 3073D.
Map Projection GDA94 MGA Zone 50.
Aerial image from Google Earth Pro and GBG photogrammetry.




Date 2 May 2023
Scale 1:3000
Drawing 3073D-01

Paper Size A3
Drawn AHWS
Revisor C








DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA

APPENDIX B – GEOPHYSICAL AND INTERPRETED SECTIONS

TRANSECT 01 (0-360m) - SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY MODEL



TRANSECT 01 (0-360m) - INTERPRETED GEOLOGICAL SECTION




NOTES
Drawing to be used in conjunction with Report 3073D
Positioning is given in GDA94 zone 50.
Levels are given in Australian Height Datum (AHD).






CLIENT **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA**
**GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL
EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA**

Date 31 May 2022
Scale 1:1000H, 1:500V
Drawing 3073D-02
Paper Size A3
Drawn BAL
Revised C

TRANSECT 01 (360-720m) - SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY MODEL



TRANSECT 01 (360-720m) - INTERPRETED GEOLOGICAL SECTION




NOTES
Drawing to be used in conjunction with Report 3073D
Positioning is given in GDA94 zone 50.
Levels are given in Australian Height Datum (AHD).


CLIENT	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA	Date	31 May 2022	Paper Size	A3
	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT. DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA	Scale	1:1000H, 1:500V	Drawn	BAL
		Drawing	3073D-03	Revisior	C

**GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WESTERN AUSTRALIA**


TRANSECT 01 (720-912m) - SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY MODEL



TRANSECT 01 (720-912m) - INTERPRETED GEOLOGICAL SECTION



SEISMIC S-WAVE VELOCITY (m/s)



INTERPRETED MATERIAL TYPE

- SAND - LOW COMPACTION
S-WAVE VELOCITY < 250 m/s
- SAND - MODERATE COMPACTION
S-WAVE VELOCITY 250-350 m/s
- LOW STRENGTH WEATHERED ROCK
S-WAVE VELOCITY 350-475 m/s
- MODERATE STRENGTH COMPETENT ROCK
S-WAVE VELOCITY > 475 m/s.
- 0m AUSTRALIAN HEIGHT DATUM

CONE PENETRATION TEST

- Tip Resistance (MPa)
- 0 to <5
 - 5 to <10
 - 10 to <15
 - 15 to <20
 - 20 to <25
 - 25 to <30
 - 30 to <35
 - 35 to <40
 - 40 to <45
 - 45 to <50
 - Equal to or >50


NOTES

Drawing to be used in conjunction with Report 3073D
Positioning is given in GDA94 zone 50.
Levels are given in Australian Height Datum (AHD).


CLIENT	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT WESTERN AUSTRALIA	Date	31 May 2022	Paper Size	A3
	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT. DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA	Scale	1:1000H, 1:500V	Drawn	BAL
		Drawing	3073D-04	Revised	C

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WESTERN AUSTRALIA

TRANSECT 02 - SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY MODEL




TRANSECT 02 - INTERPRETED GEOLOGICAL SECTION



370171.0 mE
6303251.4 mN

370256.2 mE
6303506.8 mN

SEISMIC S-WAVE VELOCITY (m/s)



INTERPRETED MATERIAL TYPE

- SAND - LOW COMPACTION
S-WAVE VELOCITY < 250 m/s
- SAND - MODERATE COMPACTION
S-WAVE VELOCITY 250-350 m/s
- LOW STRENGTH WEATHERED ROCK
S-WAVE VELOCITY 350-475 m/s
- MODERATE STRENGTH COMPETENT ROCK
S-WAVE VELOCITY > 475 m/s.
- 0m AUSTRALIAN HEIGHT DATUM

CONE PENETRATION TEST

- Tip Resistance (MPa)
- 0 to <5
 - 5 to <10
 - 10 to <15
 - 15 to <20
 - 20 to <25
 - 25 to <30
 - 30 to <35
 - 35 to <40
 - 40 to <45
 - 45 to <50
 - Equal to or >50


NOTES

Drawing to be used in conjunction with Report 3073D
Positioning is given in GDA94 zone 50.
Levels are given in Australian Height Datum (AHD).



CLIENT	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT WESTERN AUSTRALIA	Date	31 May 2022	Paper Size	A3
	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT. DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA	Scale	1:1000H, 1:500V	Drawn	BAL
		Drawing	3073D-05	Revisor	C

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WESTERN AUSTRALIA


TRANSECT 03 - SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY MODEL



TRANSECT 03 - INTERPRETED GEOLOGICAL SECTION



SEISMIC S-WAVE VELOCITY (m/s)



INTERPRETED MATERIAL TYPE

- SAND - LOW COMPACTION
S-WAVE VELOCITY < 250 m/s
- SAND - MODERATE COMPACTION
S-WAVE VELOCITY 250-350 m/s
- LOW STRENGTH WEATHERED ROCK
S-WAVE VELOCITY 350-475 m/s
- MODERATE STRENGTH COMPETENT ROCK
S-WAVE VELOCITY > 475 m/s.
- 0m AUSTRALIAN HEIGHT DATUM


CONE PENETRATION TEST

- Tip Resistance (MPa)
- 0 to <5
 - 5 to <10
 - 10 to <15
 - 15 to <20
 - 20 to <25
 - 25 to <30
 - 30 to <35
 - 35 to <40
 - 40 to <45
 - 45 to <50
 - Equal to or >50



NOTES
Drawing to be used in conjunction with Report 3073D
Positioning is given in GDA94 zone 50.
Levels are given in Australian Height Datum (AHD).

CLIENT	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT WESTERN AUSTRALIA	Date	31 May 2022	Paper Size	A3
	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT. DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA	Scale	1:1000H, 1:500V	Drawn	BAL
		Drawing	3073D-06	Revisior	C


TRANSECT 04 - SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY MODEL



TRANSECT 04 - INTERPRETED GEOLOGICAL SECTION



SEISMIC S-WAVE VELOCITY (m/s)



INTERPRETED MATERIAL TYPE

- SAND - LOW COMPACTION
S-WAVE VELOCITY < 250 m/s
- SAND - MODERATE COMPACTION
S-WAVE VELOCITY 250-350 m/s
- LOW STRENGTH WEATHERED ROCK
S-WAVE VELOCITY 350-475 m/s
- MODERATE STRENGTH COMPETENT ROCK
S-WAVE VELOCITY > 475 m/s.
- 0m AUSTRALIAN HEIGHT DATUM


CONE PENETRATION TEST

- Tip Resistance (MPa)
- 0 to <5
 - 5 to <10
 - 10 to <15
 - 15 to <20
 - 20 to <25
 - 25 to <30
 - 30 to <35
 - 35 to <40
 - 40 to <45
 - 45 to <50
 - Equal to or >50


NOTES
Drawing to be used in conjunction with Report 3073D
Positioning is given in GDA94 zone 50.
Levels are given in Australian Height Datum (AHD).

CLIENT	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT WESTERN AUSTRALIA	Date	31 May 2022	Paper Size	A3
	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT. DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA	Scale	1:1000H, 1:500V	Drawn	BAL
		Drawing	3073D-07	Revisior	C


TRANSECT 05 - SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY MODEL




TRANSECT 06 - SEISMIC SHEAR WAVE VELOCITY MODEL




TRANSECT 05 - INTERPRETED GEOLOGICAL SECTION



TRANSECT 06 - INTERPRETED GEOLOGICAL SECTION



SEISMIC S-WAVE VELOCITY (m/s)



INTERPRETED MATERIAL TYPE

- SAND - LOW COMPACTION
S-WAVE VELOCITY < 250 m/s
- SAND - MODERATE COMPACTION
S-WAVE VELOCITY 250-350 m/s
- LOW STRENGTH WEATHERED ROCK
S-WAVE VELOCITY 350-475 m/s
- MODERATE STRENGTH COMPETENT ROCK
S-WAVE VELOCITY > 475 m/s.
- 0m AUSTRALIAN HEIGHT DATUM

CONE PENETRATION TEST

- Tip Resistance (MPa)
- 0 to <5
 - 5 to <10
 - 10 to <15
 - 15 to <20
 - 20 to <25
 - 25 to <30
 - 30 to <35
 - 35 to <40
 - 40 to <45
 - 45 to <50
 - Equal to or >50


NOTES

Drawing to be used in conjunction with Report 3073D
Positioning is given in GDA94 zone 50.
Levels are given in Australian Height Datum (AHD).

CLIENT	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT WESTERN AUSTRALIA	Date	31 May 2022	Paper Size	A3
	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT. DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA	Scale	1:500H, 1:500V	Drawn	BAL
		Drawing	3073D-08	Revisior	C

APPENDIX C – MODELLED TOP OF ROCK AND SAND THICKNESS

SURFACE LEVEL MODEL



Surface Level (mAHD)

0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35

— Acquired geophysical transect

* Note vegetation height has not been removed from surface level model.

NOTES
 Drawing to be used in conjunction with GBG report 3073D.
 Map Projection GDA94 MGA Zone 50.
 Aerial image from Google Earth Pro and GBG photogrammetry.



Date	24 July 2023	Paper Size	A3
Scale	1:3000	Drawn	AHWS
Drawing	3073D-09	Revisor	↑

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA

SURFACE LEVEL MODEL



Surface Level (mAHd)

0 5 10 15 20 25 30 35

— Acquired geophysical transect

* Note vegetation height has not been removed from surface level model.


NOTES
 Drawing to be used in conjunction with GBG report 3073D.
 Map Projection GDA94 MGA Zone 50.
 Aerial image from Google Earth Pro and GBG photogrammetry.



Date	24 July 2023	Paper Size	A3
Scale	1:3000	Drawn	AHWS
Drawing	3073D-10	Revised	↑

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA

CONTOURED LEVEL TO TOP OF ROCK



NOTES


Drawing to be used in conjunction with GBG report 3073D.
Map Projection GDA94 MGA Zone 50.
Aerial image from Google Earth Pro and GBG photogrammetry.



Date	24 July 2023	Paper Size	A3
Scale	1:3000	Drawn	AHWS
Drawing	3073D-11	Revised	1

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA

CONTOURED LEVEL TO TOP OF ROCK



Level to Top of Rock (mAHD)

— Acquired geophysical transect

▨ Top of rock below maximum imaging depth of investigation (~12m below ground level).

* Note expected accuracy of level to top of rock is +/-0.5mAHD where modelled along the geophysical transects. Accuracy is indeterminable where not along the geophysical transects.

NOTES


Drawing to be used in conjunction with GBG report 3073D.
Map Projection GDA94 MGA Zone 50.
Aerial image from Google Earth Pro and GBG photogrammetry.



Date	24 July 2023	Paper Size	A3
Scale	1:3000	Drawn	AHWS
Drawing	3073D-12	Revised	↑

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA

CLASSED POST MAP LEVEL TO TOP OF ROCK



Level to Top of Rock

- < -15 mAHd
- 15 mAHd to < -10 mAHd
- 10 mAHd to < -5 mAHd
- 5 mAHd to < 0 mAHd
- 0 mAHd to < 5 mAHd
- 5 mAHd to < 10 mAHd
- 10 mAHd to < 15 mAHd
- > 15 mAHd

Top of rock below maximum imaging depth of investigation (~12m below ground level).

* Note expected accuracy of level to top of rock is +/-0.5mAHd where modelled along the geophysical transects. Accuracy is indeterminable where not along the geophysical transects.

NOTES

Drawing to be used in conjunction with GBG report 3073D.
Map Projection GDA94 MGA Zone 50.
Aerial image from Google Earth Pro and GBG photogrammetry.





Date	12 July 2023	Paper Size	A3
Scale	1:3000	Drawn	AHWS
Drawing	3073D-13	Revisor	↑

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA

**GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL
EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA**

CONTOURED SAND THICKNESS OVER ROCK



- Acquired geophysical transect
- Top of rock below maximum imaging depth of investigation (~12m below ground level).

* Note expected accuracy of sand thickness over rock is +/-0.5m where modelled along the geophysical transects. Accuracy is indeterminable where not along the geophysical transects.

NOTES


Drawing to be used in conjunction with GBG report 3073D.
Map Projection GDA94 MGA Zone 50.
Aerial image from Google Earth Pro and GBG photogrammetry.



Date	24 July 2023	Paper Size	A3
Scale	1:3000	Drawn	AHWS
Drawing	3073D-14	Revised	↑

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA

CONTOURED SAND THICKNESS OVER ROCK



NOTES

Drawing to be used in conjunction with GBG report 3073D.
Map Projection GDA94 MGA Zone 50.
Aerial image from Google Earth Pro and GBG photogrammetry.



Date 24 July 2023

Paper Size A3

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA

Scale 1:3000

Drawn AHWS

**GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL
EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA**

Drawing 3073D-15

Revisor

CLASSIFIED POST MAP SAND THICKNESS OVER ROCK



Sand Thickness

- < 2 m
- 2 m to < 4 m
- 4 m to < 6 m
- 6 m to < 8 m
- 8 m to < 10 m
- 10 m to < 12 m
- > 12 m

Top of rock below maximum imaging depth of investigation (~12m below ground level).

* Note expected accuracy of sand thickness over rock is +/-0.5m where modelled along the geophysical transects. Accuracy is indeterminable where not along the geophysical transects.

NOTES

Drawing to be used in conjunction with GBG report 3073D.
Map Projection GDA94 MGA Zone 50.
Aerial image from Google Earth Pro and GBG photogrammetry.



Date	24 July 2023	Paper Size	A3
Scale	1:3000	Drawn	AHWS
Drawing	3073D-16	Revisor	↑

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT, WESTERN AUSTRALIA
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR COASTAL EROSION VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT.
DALYELLUP, SHIRE OF CAPEL WA

APPENDIX D – CONE PENETRATION TEST PLOTS

ELECTRIC FRICTION-CONE PENETROMETER

Probe I.D

CLIENT: Department of Transport

Job No.: 3073

PROJECT: Geophysical Investigation for Coastal Erosion Study


RL (m):

CPT 01

LOCATION: Shire of Capel (DalyellupD)

Co-ords:

19-May-23



Tested in accordance with AS 1289.6.5.1-1999 and IRTF 2001 for friction reducer

Approx. water (m): 0.9

Dummy probe to (m):

Refusal: 90 MPa

Cone I.D.: EC08

File: GB0012M2

Rig Type: 11t track (M2)

ELECTRIC FRICTION-CONE PENETROMETER

Probe I.D

CLIENT: Department of Transport

Job No.: 3073

PROJECT: Geophysical Investigation for Coastal Erosion Study


RL (m):

CPT 01A

LOCATION: Shire of Capel (Dalyellup)

Co-ords:

19-May-23



ELECTRIC FRICTION-CONE PENETROMETER

Probe I.D

CLIENT: Department of Transport

Job No.: 3073

PROJECT: Geophysical Investigation for Coastal Erosion Study


RL (m):

CPT 02

LOCATION: Shire of Capel (Dalyellup

) Co-ords:

19-May-23



Tested in accordance with AS 1289.6.5.1-1999 and IRTF 2001 for friction reducer

Approx. water (m): 0.8
 Dummy probe to (m):
 Refusal: Rod Friction

Cone I.D.: EC08
 File: GB0014M2
 Rig Type: 11t track (M2)

ELECTRIC FRICTION-CONE PENETROMETER

Probe I.D

CLIENT: Department of Transport

Job No.: 3073

PROJECT: Geophysical Investigation for Coastal Erosion Study


RL (m):

CPT 03

LOCATION: Shire of Capel (Dalyellup

) Co-ords:

19-May-23



Tested in accordance with AS 1289.6.5.1-1999 and IRTP 2001 for friction reducer

Approx. water (m): 1.0

Dummy probe to (m):

Refusal: 75 MPa

Cone I.D.: EC08

File: GB0015M2

Rig Type: 11t track (M2)

ELECTRIC FRICTION-CONE PENETROMETER

Probe I.D

CLIENT: Department of Transport

Job No.: 3073

PROJECT: Geophysical Investigation for Coastal Erosion Study


RL (m):

CPT 04

LOCATION: Shire of Capel (Dalyellup

) Co-ords:

19-May-23



Tested in accordance with AS 1289.6.5.1-1999 and IRTP 2001 for friction reducer

Approx. water (m): Dry to 10.1

Dummy probe to (m):

Refusal:

Cone I.D.: EC08

File: GB0016M2

Rig Type: 11t track (M2)

ELECTRIC FRICTION-CONE PENETROMETER

Probe I.D

CLIENT: Department of Transport

Job No.: 3073

PROJECT: Geophysical Investigation for Coastal Erosion Study


RL (m):

CPT 05

LOCATION: Shire of Capel (Dalyellup)

Co-ords:

19-May-23



Tested in accordance with AS 1289.6.5.1-1999 and IRTP 2001 for friction reducer

Approx. water (m): Dry to 10.0

Dummy probe to (m):

Refusal:

Cone I.D.: EC08

File: GB0017M2

Rig Type: 11t track (M2)

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

CONE ID:

EC08

Cone Type:	Compression
Calibration Date (qc/fs):	27 March 2023
Calibration Date (u):	21 March 2023
Preliminary Inspection:	Pass
Calibrated By:	Sean Wilkins
Calibration Procedure:	ISO 22476-1:2012, IRTP 2001
Force Application:	Compression
Reference Equipment:	PT - S type 100kN Serial # 5126009 (Calibrated 10/03/23 - NATA approved Cert. No. 230664) Bongshin - S type 50kN Serial #W05345 (Calibrated 10/03/23 - NATA approved Cert. No. 230663) Digitron Panel Meter Serial #: 060213/01 (Calibrated 09/03/23 - NATA endorsed Report No. 230658, 230659, 230660)

Note: In accordance with AS1289 FS.1 the force calibration derived by NATA Calibration Certificates are converted to a qc reading in MPa and fs reading in kPa by dividing by 1000 mm² and 15000mm² respectively.

Results of Calibration:

qc (tip resistance):		
Capacity:	100 (MPa)	
Area	1000 (mm ²)	
Applied Load kN	Eqv. Pressure MPa	Mean Observed Reading Volts
0	0	0.000
10	10	0.801
20	20	1.605
30	30	2.414
40	40	3.222
50	50	4.028
60	60	4.841
70	70	5.656
80	80	6.471
90	90	7.289
100	100	8.110
90	90	7.315
80	80	6.509
70	70	5.703
60	60	4.893
50	50	4.079
40	40	3.261
30	30	2.449
20	20	1.638
10	10	0.824
0	0	0.002
R ² Value =	1.000	

fs (sleeve friction):		
Capacity:	2000 (kPa)	
Area	15000 (mm ²)	
Applied Force kN	Eqv. Load kPa	Mean Observed Reading Volts
0	0	0.000
3	200	0.814
6	400	1.602
9	600	2.385
12	800	3.179
15	1000	3.972
18	1200	4.762
21	1400	5.556
24	1600	6.350
27	1800	7.141
30	2000	7.935
27	1800	7.152
24	1600	6.355
21	1400	5.553
18	1200	4.752
15	1000	3.949
12	800	3.153
9	600	2.367
6	400	1.589
3	200	0.822
0	0	0.002
R ² Value =	1.000	

u (pore pressure):		
Capacity:	3500 (kPa)	
Position	u2	
Applied Pressure bar	Eqv. Pressure kPa	Mean Observed Reading Volts
0	0	0.000
3	300	0.348
6	600	0.695
9	900	1.042
12	1200	1.390
15	1500	1.737
18	1800	2.083
21	2100	2.430
25	2500	2.890
30	3000	3.464
35	3500	4.037
30	3000	3.469
25	2500	2.894
21	2100	2.433
18	1800	2.087
15	1500	1.741
12	1200	1.393
9	900	1.045
6	600	0.697
3	300	0.349
0	0	0.000
R ² Value =	1.000	

Zero Load Error: 0.03%
Max. Linearity 0.31%
Max. Hysteresis 0.64%

Zero Load Error: 0.03%
Max. Linearity 0.37%
Max. Hysteresis 0.45%

Zero Load Error: 0.01%
Max. Linearity 0.21%
Max. Hysteresis 0.23%

MPa/Volt: **12.326**

kPa/Volt: **252.30**

kPa/Volt: **865.87**
Net Area (calibrated): **0.81**

"Class 1" Application Accuracy achieved (in accordance with ISO 22476:2012 classification)

Calibration Checked & Authorised: Kylie Walker


Job Details

Client: GBG Maps
Rep: Baqir Al Asadi
Location: Peppermint Grove Beach

Date of Job: 17/05/2023
Tip Diameter: 35.7
Sleeve Diameter: 35.94

MOROOKA (M2)

11 tonne track mounted CPT Rig



SPECIFICATIONS

Overall Dimensions	Width: 2.3m; Length: 5.3m; Height: 3.2m (while travelling) Height: 4.4m (while probing)
Gross Weight	11 tonne
Ground Bearing Capacity	0.38 kg/cm ² (37kPa / 5.4psi)
Speed (Low/High)	Low gear: 8.3km High gear: 12km/h on level ground
Grade ability	60%
Engine	Mitsubishi (3910cc) 110 HP @ 2,800 rpm
Fuel Tank	80 L (Diesel)
Drive System	HST
Tracks	600mm wide rubber tracks
Levelling Jacks	0.8m stroke

EQUIPMENT / FEATURES

Other Equipment / Features	2.4m x 1.2m Plastic Bog Boards 1 x 9kg ABE Fire extinguisher Air conditioned work cabin and drive cabin
Transport	Prime Mover & 10m Drop-deck trailer with ramps

SERVICES

Geotechnical Services provided	CPT, CPTu, SCPT, SCPTu (1, 5, 10, & 15 tonne cones) DMT, SDMT Dissipation Testing Ball Penetrometer CPT casing for additional rod support Dual Tube (percussion) sampling Piston Sampling MOSTAP and PROBEDRILL soil sampling Vane Shear Testing (Electronically driven) Vibrating Wire Installation Water Sampling Standpipe Installation (20mm; 32mm & 50mm)
--------------------------------	---